

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

ANTONIO WELLINGTON RUFFIN,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CV 111-034
	)	
CARL HUMPHREY, Warden,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

---

**ORDER**

---

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed (doc. nos. 23, 25, 26).<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, the instant petition brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **DENIED**.

Furthermore, a prisoner seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 must obtain a certificate of appealability ("COA") before appealing the denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. This Court "must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant." Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings. This Court should grant a COA only if the prisoner makes a "substantial


---

<sup>1</sup>Petitioner requested and was granted an extension of time in which to object to the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. nos. 21, 22.) Petitioner then submitted three filings that set forth objections (doc. nos. 23, 25, 26), as well as a notice of documents that he had filed in his state habeas proceeding. (doc. no. 24).

showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). For the reasons set forth in the Report and Recommendation, and in consideration of the standards enunciated in Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 482-84 (2000), Petitioner has failed to make the requisite showing. Accordingly, a COA is **DENIED** in this case.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, because there are no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal, an appeal would not be taken in good faith. Accordingly, Petitioner is not entitled to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Upon the foregoing, this civil action is **CLOSED**, and a final judgment shall be **ENTERED** in favor of Respondent.

SO ORDERED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012, at Augusta, Georgia.



HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

---

<sup>2</sup>“If the court denies a certificate, [a party] may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22.” Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings.